

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580,874	05/30/2000	Michel Ladang	192592USONPP-CONT	1709
22850	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GOFF II, JOHN L	
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1733	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060627
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/Mail	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)
Attachmout(a)		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not recei	ived.
application from the International Burea		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n phoney under 55 G.S.C. 8 118	(α <i>)</i> −(α) Οι (ι).
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner.
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
Application Papers		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alastian requirement	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,13,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,13 and 15-17</u> is/are pending in th	e application.	
Disposition of Claims		
	an parto quayro, 1000 O.D. 11,	100 0.0. 410.
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosperition as to the marite is
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Thi 	A <i>pril 2006</i> . s action is non-final.	
<u>_</u>		
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	ON.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONT	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
	John L. Goff	1733
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	09/580,874	LADANG ET AL.
		•

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the arguments filed on 4/25/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 10, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The rejection is the same as that set forth in paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 4/25/06.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue, "See January 25, 2006 Office Action at page 3, lines 14-16. Applicants believe that the Office's reasoning is based **solely** on the absence of a working example. In other words, the Office has taken the position that the claimed process is not enabled because there are no working examples."

Art Unit: 1733

This is incorrect. Claim 10 discloses forming a foam sheet expanded unidirectionally only in its thickness by surface-crosslinking both faces of the unsupported foam sheet and expanding. The closest prior art Tsujimoto et al. (JP 04213341) disclose forming a foam sheet expanded in two directions by surface-crosslinking both faces of the unsupported foam sheet and expanding. The examples of Tsujimoto et al. further show that changing the degree of surfacecrosslinking does not affect a unidirectional expansion. In view of the prior art, one of ordinary skill in the art would have predicted that surface-crosslinking both faces of an unsupported foam sheet and expanding would not result in only unidirectional expansion. Applicants specification does not further describe the process other that which is claimed. The specification does not provide any further direction to achieve only unidirectional expansion, and the specification does not describe any working examples. The only other direction provided was by way of attorney argument in that achieving an expansion essentially in only the thickness direction would have been understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to require performing surface-crosslinking to an extent that unidirectional expansion in only the thickness direction occurs wherein determining the extent of surface-crosslinking would have been routine experimentation (See Page 5, lines 21-25 and Page 6, lines 1-11 of applicants response filed 8/24/04), although it is noted applicants contend this does not apply to unidirectional expansion only in the thickness direction (See Page 7, last line of the third full paragraph of the appeal brief). However, this argument is contradicted by the examples of the Tsujimoto et al. such that while one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to vary the extent of surface-crosslinking without requiring undue experimentation, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have expected, i.e. predicted, this to result in only unidirectional expansion. Thus, the specification, claims, prior art, and level Application/Control Number: 09/580,874

Art Unit: 1733

of ordinary skill of one in the art alone would not enable one of ordinary skill to unidirectionally expand a foam sheet only in its thickness without performing undue experimentation and as such the claims are not enabled.

Applicants further argue, "Applicants believe that a conclusion that the presently claimed process is not enabled because the extent of surface-crosslinking is not disclosed is improper because the Office has recognized that unidirectional expansion of a supported pre-expanded polyolefin sheet is possible without relying on whether or not the extent of surface of crosslinking is or is not disclosed (see November 17, 2004 Office Action at paragraph spanning pages 3-4)."

Applicants have not established how a prior art process of expanding a foam sheet including a support on both faces which unidirectionally expands only in a direction perpendicular to the supports enables a process of unidirectionally expanding an unsupported foam sheet by surface-crosslinking the foam sheet and expanding.

Applicants further argue, "The Examiner's attention is directed to the disclosure of US 5,883,145 (US '145) at column 10, lines 16ff, which discloses the manner in which olefinic compositions can be cross-linked."

US 5,883,145 does not enable the claims as the patent does not disclose how an unsupported foam sheet which has undergone surface crosslinking on both faces is unidirectionally expanded only in its thickness.

Application/Control Number: 09/580,874 Page 5

Art Unit: 1733

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John L. Goff** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1216**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:15 AM - 3:45 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/580,874

Art Unit: 1733

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John L. Goff

PRIMARY EXAMINER